UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR	T
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	ΙA

LATWAHN MCELROY,) No.: 1:20-cv-00658-NONE-SAB (PC)		
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
GOMEZ, et al.,) (Doc. Nos. 25, 27)		
Defendants.)))		
))		

Plaintiff Latwahn McElroy is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 12, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's petition for writ of mandamus, request for preliminary injunction, and request for appointment of counsel be denied. (Doc. No. 25.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that objections were to be filed within fourteen days. (*Id.*) No objections were filed and the time to do has expired.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Case 1:20-cv-00658-NONE-SAB Document 31 Filed 09/22/20 Page 2 of 2

1	Accordingly:			
2	1.	The findings and recommendations filed on August 12, 2020, are adopted in full; and		
3	2.	Plaintiff's petition for writ of mandamus is denied;		
4	3.	Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction is denied; and		
5	4.	Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel is denied, without prejudice.		
6				
7	IT IS SO O			
8	Dated:	September 21, 2020 Dale A. Dagd		
9		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				